The *USSP2011* Hitchhiker's Guide to the Black Arts (of Earth system modelling)

**V: The late Cretaceous Equator-to-pole paradox**

Relevant reading:


11. Understanding the climate of the Cretaceous (and Palaeogene)

11.0 Possible reading: Jenkyns et al. [2004], Huber et al. [2002] + a skim through Bice and Norris [2002] (asp. Figures 3+4 (plus discussion)).

11.1 Before you can run the GENIE Maastrichtian (70.6-66.5 Ma) configuration, you first need to get rid of the previous configuration (assuming it was different) of cGENIE (i.e., make cleanall).

To check that everything looks OK, run experiment exp11_Cretaceous briefly (e.g. 10 years):

```
$ ./runcgenie.sh cgenie_eb_go_gs_ac_bg.p0067f.NONELABS exp11_Cretaceous 10
```

Because the climate system is being started from ‘cold’) (no restart), the system rapidly drifts away from its default starting conditions.

Note that no carbon cycle is selected (for speed).

11.2 A previously spun-up state of Maastrichtian climate (exp0_Cretaceous_SPINUP) is provided as a starting point. This restart can be used as follows (and as per previous experiments):

```
$ ./runcgenie.sh cgenie_eb_go_gs_ac_bg.p0067f.NONELABS exp11_Cretaceous 10 exp0_Cretaceous_SPINUP
```

Note that climate (as indicated by e.g. SST) is now effectively stable (a small drift still exists).

11.3 Your task now is ... ‘simple’ (lol) – Account for the Cretaceous reduced Equator-to-pole surface temperature gradient (compared to modern), particularly the apparently much warmer poles.

Different data-based time-slices (including the Maastrichtian) are provided in Huber et al. [2002].

BUT ... the low latitude δ¹⁸O based temperatures are now not considered reliable. Jenkyns et al. [2004] contains high latitude (Arctic) data for the Maastrichtian. There are proxy-derived latitudinal temperature gradients and model-data studies (e.g., by Karen Bice) for earlier in the Cretaceous – the problem is essentially the same.

The 2-D NetCDF results file contains the surface air temperature field (and sea-ice cover, if any). The 3-D NetCDF results file contains fields for ocean temperatures (and salinity). Both contain continental configuration and ocean bathymetry. Remember to switch off the modern continental outline in Panoply to prevent extreme annoyance.

Panoply will plot the zonal average for you (as used in model-data comparisons – e.g., see Bice and Norris [2002]) – in the Array(s) tab, the Plot can be set to Zonal Averages rather than Map. You can get a smooth curve by selecting Interpolate. Remember you can set (and fix) scales rather than let Panoply auto-scale continually.

The following ‘controls’ over the climate system are provided to you in the form of a list of parameter that you can edit the value of. In the exp11_Cretaceous user-config, listed under # --- ADDITIONAL --- (at the very bottom of the file):

```bash
# --- ADDITIONAL -----------------------------------------------
# --- ATMOSPHERE ---
ea_radfor_scl_co2=4.0
# CH4 radiative forcing scaling factor [DEFAULT = 1.0]
ea_radfor_scl_ch4=1.0
ea_albedop_amp=0.260
# Equator-to-pole different in planetary albedo [DEFAULT = 0.260]
ea_albedop_offs=0.200
# Baseline planetary albedo [DEFAULT = 0.200]
egamma=1.531
# gamma=1.531
# atmospheric diffusivity of temperature (horizontal) [DEFAULT = 5.0e6]
ea_12=5.0e6
# OCEAN ---
# ocean diffusivity of temperature + salinity (horizontal) [DEFAULT = 1494.4]
# ocean diffusivity of temperature + salinity (horizontal) [DEFAULT = 1494.4]
# scaling for wind stress (set values of both identical) [DEFAULT = 1.531]
```
Most of these parameters are associated with the radiative forcing of climate or atmospheric transports:

(i) The line:
\[ \text{ea\_radfor\_scl\_co2}=4.0 \]
specifies a radiative forcing of climate by CO$_2$ equivalent to 4 times modern CO$_2$ (i.e., $4 \times 278$ ppm = 1112 ppm). The line:
\[ \text{ea\_radfor\_scl\_ch4}=1.0 \]
specifies a radiative forcing of climate by CH$_4$ equivalent to 1 times modern CH$_4$ (i.e., $1 \times 700$ ppb).

(ii) cGENIE, as configured here, does not have a land surface scheme (no snow cover) nor clouds, so a planetary albedo is prescribed (see Figure). This varies with latitude and is parameterized after a fully coupled GCM simulation. There is a parameter which controls how the albedo varies as a function of latitude, which can be adjusted:
\[ \text{ea\_albedop\_amps}=0.260 \]
However, if you vary this, why are you doing it? (What is the physical justification for giving the poles a higher or lower albedo relative to the Equator?)
There is also a parameter that sets the baseline (minimum) albedo:
\[ \text{ea\_albedop\_offs}=0.200 \]
i.e., albedo is primarily a sum of the baseline value plus the Equator-to-pole slope (times the latitude).

(iii) The diffusivity of heat in the atmosphere (since it is a simple 2-D atmospheric model, with no atmospheric circulation, the atmosphere is made ‘diffusive’ to help capture heat and moisture transport) is:
\[ \text{ea\_12}=5.0e6 \]
Note the maximum value the model can cope with is ca. $1.0e7$.

(iv) There are also a couple of ocean parameters that control ocean (heat) transport:
\[ \text{go\_14}=1494.4 \]
is the horizontal diffusivity in the ocean. A higher value will tend to homogenize ocean properties horizontally. The parameters:
\[ \text{go\_13}=1.531 \]
\[ \text{ea\_11}=1.531 \]
control the wind stress. A higher value will produce a more vigorous wind-driven circulation (e.g., gyres). Note that both values must be set identical.

11.4 Run the model for however long you think is necessary (/justified). The surface climate will approach equilibrium ‘relatively’ quickly. Deep ocean temperatures will typically take thousands of years to fully adjust ... You can assess how the model approaches equilibrium most easily from the atmospheric temperature time-series results file, and from the ocean temperature time-series results file (allowing to you to contrast surface and whole ocean temperature changes).
Prescribed planetary albedo.
The latitudinal (from 90°S (-90°N) on the left, to 90°N on the right) profile of planetary albedo as calculated in a fully coupled GCM is given in green, and the cGENIE ‘fit’ in black.